

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 Ralph HUNTZINGER, et al.,

9                   Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 AQUA LUNG, et al.,

12                   Defendants.

Case No.: 15-cv-1146-WQH

13  
14                   **ORDER re PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS  
15 TO COMPEL (ECF No. 146) AND TO  
16 SEAL (ECF No. 144)**

17  
18 Plaintiffs' motion to compel (ECF No. [146]) is granted in part and denied in part as  
19 stated on the record. (CD# 2/6/2018 AGS 18:3:59-4:41.) By February 23, 2018, defendant  
20 Suunto Oy must disclose any supplemental responsive documents and, if any responsive  
21 documents have been destroyed or are no longer in existence, it must verify which  
22 documents are destroyed or missing and the reasons therefor.

23  
24 Additionally, Suunto Oy may provide supplemental briefing—not to exceed two  
25 pages, excluding exhibits—by February 16, 2018, describing with particularity what good  
26 cause exists to seal portions of plaintiffs' motion and exhibits. *See Kamakana v. City of*  
*Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006).

27  
28 Dated: February 6, 2018

  
Hon. Andrew G. Schopler  
United States Magistrate Judge